

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,496	02/07/2001	David M. Lubman	UM-06106	8813
23535 7	7590 10/01/2003		EXAM	INER
MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP			MAHATAN, CHANNING	
101 HOWARD STREET SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94105		1631	12
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*							
	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/778,49	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LUBMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	· · · · ·	Art Unit				
		S. Mahatan	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no even bly within the statu I will apply and wite, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time  utory minimum of thirty (30) days  Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  ication to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	r Ex parte Q	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-24 and 26-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-24 and 26-34</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	3 Sheets .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

APPLICANTS' ARGUMENTS

Applicants' arguments in Paper No. 10, filed 26 June 2003, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

CLAIMS UNDER EXAMINATION

Claims herein under examination are claims 1-6, 8-24 and 26-34.

## Claims Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-24, and 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chong et al. taken in view of Richmond et al. (High-throughput flow injection analysismass spectrometry with network delivery of colour rendered results: the characterisation of liquid chromatography fractions, Journal of Chromatography. 1999, Volume 835, pages 29-39).

Chong et al. describes profiling proteins of whole cell lysates wherein protein fractions are separated by non-porous reverse phase HPLC and analyzed using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOFMS) (Abstract; page 1987, column 1, lines 5-7; and page 1993, Column 1, lines 6-10). The authors were able to distinguish

Application/Control Number: 09/778,496

Art Unit: 1631

protein profiles from malignant and normal breast epithelium cell lysates, giving different and distinguishable protein profiles of different cell types (page 1987, Column 1, lines 7-35). Fractions were analyzed using MALDI-TOFMS to ascertain their molecular weights and observed protein expression (p 1987, Column 1, lines 36-37). Prior to MALDI-TOFMS analysis the cell lysates/sample were treated with external agents (p 1987-1989, "Matrix, substrate and sample preparation" section). Beckman System Gold HPLC utilized in this work includes a System Control Center display and keypad permitting the control of not only the device, but also external modules (page 1989, Column 1, lines 16-21). Chong et al. indicates that the Beckman System Gold® HPLC is "automated" by having "a programmable solvent delivery module with a dual-pump.... This module includes a System Control Center display and keypad which permits control of the pump and external modules directly (page 1989, column 1, lines 17-21), therefore, said element(s) of "automation" would have been inherently apparent in Chong et al. per the device utilized (Beckman System Gold® HPLC). Further, data was recorded and processed on a computer (page 1989, Column 2, lines 16-19). Chong et al. illustrate the MALDI-TOF mass spectra data obtained of malignant and normal whole cell lysates of the MCF-10 cell lines (page 1988; and page 1989, Column 2, lines 41-47). However, Chong et al. fails to indicate that protein abundance can be represented graphically as color intensity bands.

Richmond et al. describes an automated high-throughput flow injection analysis electronspray-mass spectrometry to analyze liquid chromatography fractions, wherein the liquid chromatography fraction data is rendered in color to provide a fast and easy inspection (Abstract). The authors illustrate and describe the methodology of displaying the liquid

Art Unit: 1631

chromatography data as graduating color bands representing mass and intensity (page 34, beginning on the right column, line 14; Figures 2 and 3).

Thus, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to practice Chong et al., protein profiling of whole cell lysates wherein protein fractions are separated by non-porous reverse phase HPLC and analyzed using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOFMS), with Richmond et al., graphical display of colour intensity bands representing (intensity/mass) from liquid chromatography information, since Richmond et al. states coloured computer screen pictures and 3D maps provide quick and easy way of delivering liquid chromatography data to laboratories (page 39, left column, lines 17-23).

Applicants' arguments that Chong et al. fails to teach/require: 1) "an automated sample handling apparatus configured to receive separated proteins from said reverse phase HPLC separating apparatus"; 2) "a mass spectroscopy apparatus configured to receive proteins from said automated sample handling apparatus"; and 3) the "protein profile maps display each protein as a separate band corresponding to the mass of the protein sample, wherein the intensity of the bands corresponds to the abundance of the protein" in Paper No. 8, filed 28 January 2003, and Paper No. 10, filed 26 June 2003, have been considered. However, in view of these arguments the instant claims are determined unpatentable over Chong et al. taken in view of Richmond et al., and these arguments are addressed above.

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Several references in the 'Information Disclosure Statement' (Paper No. 11, filed 26 June 2003) were not considered due to the absence of the said references and have been lined through

Application/Control Number: 09/778,496

Art Unit: 1631

accordingly. Should Applicants desire consideration the missing references a new 'Information

Page 5

Disclosure Statement' accompanied by full copies of references is requested.

No Claims Are Allowed.

**EXAMINER INFORMATION** 

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located

in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the

Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and

1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is

either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Channing S. Mahatan whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2380. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael P. Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to Legal Instruments Examiner, Tina M. Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)

305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 30, 2003

Examiner Initials: CSM

441631